Institute of Art, Design + Technology Dún Laoghaire

## **Programme Validation Report**

Programme Validation Report for: MA New Media Production Level 9, 90 ECTS

Date of Panel: 12 May 2023 Date of Report: 31 May 2023

#### **Table of Contents**

Part	1 Introduction2	
1.1	2	
1.2	Overall Recommendations3	
1.3	Principal Programme4	
1.4	Embedded Programme5	
1.5 P	rogrammes being replaced5	
Part 3	2 Evaluation against the Validation Criteria6	
2.1	Criterion 16	
2.2	Criterion 27	
2.3	Criterion 38	
2.4	Criterion 48	
2.5	Criterion 59	
2.6	Criterion 610	
2.7	Criterion 710	
2.8	Criterion 811	
2.9	Criterion 911	
2.10	Criterion 1012	
2.11	Criterion 1112	
2.12	Criterion 1213	
Part	3 Overall Recommendation14	
3.1	Principal Programme14	
	3.1.1 Reasons for the Overall Recommendation14	
3.2	Embedded Programme14	
3.3	Summary of Recommended Special Conditions of Validation14	
3.4	Summary of Recommendations to the Provider14	
3.5	Summary of Commendations to the Provider15	
4	Signature of Chairperson1	
5	Disclaimer16	

## Part 1 Introduction

### 1.1

Name	Role	Affiliation
Dr Sheila Flanagan	Chair	Vice-President for Academic Affairs & Registrar, DKIT
Dr Adrian Paterson	Academic Expert	Lecturer in English, University of Galway
Prof. Pat Brereton	Academic Expert	Head of School of Communications, DCU
Ms Lisa Cunningham	Industry Expert	Managing Director, Vogue Business Development

### **1.2** Overall Recommendations

Provider Name	Dún Laoghaire Institute of Art, Design & Technology
Date of Site Visit	Friday, 12 May
Date of Report	Wednesday 31 May 2023

Principal	Title	MA New Media Production
Programme	Award	Master of Arts
	Credit	90
	Recommendation	Satisfactory
	Satisfactory OR	
	Satisfactory subject	
	to proposed	
	conditions OR	
	Not Satisfactory	
Embedded	Title	N/A
Award	Award	N/A
	Credit	N/A
	Recommendation	N/A
	Satisfactory OR	
	Satisfactory subject	
	to proposed	
	conditions OR	
	Not Satisfactory	

## 1.3 Principal Programme

Names of Centres where the programmes are to be provided	Maximum Number of Learners (per centre)	Minimum Number of Learners
Dún Laoghaire Institute of Art, Design and Technology		
(IADT), Kill Avenue, Dún Laoghaire, Co. Dublin		

Enrolment Interval (normally 5 years)	Date of First Intake	September 2024
	Date of Last Intake	September 2027
Maximum number of annual intakes	1	
Maximum total number of learners per	20	
intake		
Programme duration (months from start	18 months	
to completion)		
Target learner groups	Graduates with a 2.1 or higher at Level 8	
	Practitioners from arts / media / cultural industries	
	seeking to re or upskill in creative and critical skills	
	for the workplace of the future	
Approved countries of provision	Ireland	
Delivery mode – Full-time/Part-time	Full-time/part-time	
The teaching and learning modalities	Lecturers	
	Industry guest lecturers	
	Lab-based tutorials	
	Case-based learning	
	Self-directed study	

Brief synopsis of the programme (e.g.	This is a Level 9 Mast	er of Arts (Honours)
who is it for, what is it for, what is	programme with a focus on short-form narrative	
involved for learners, what it leads to)	production in new and emerging media platforms	
	and technologies. Th	e target learners are full-time
	students interested in	n learning about new media
	platforms and produc	cing short narrative content on
	them.	-
Summary of specifications for teaching	WTE <sup>1</sup>	Qualifications and Experience
staff		Appropriate qualifications in
		the following disciplines:
	2	Media Studies
		Film Studies
	1	Media Production
	0.5	Creative Writing
	1.15 (min) 1.25 (mon)	
Summary of specifications for the ratio of	1:15 (min)-1:25 (max	)
learners to teaching staff		

#### 1.4 Embedded Programme

N/A

### **1.5 Programmes being replaced**

N/A

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> WTE is the whole-time equivalent number. The number 1 indicates a full-time person fully dedicated to the programme or a number of people whose time combined equals a full time person.

## Part 2 Evaluation against the Validation Criteria

## 2.1 Criterion 1

The provider is eligible to apply for validation of the programme		
Satisfactory (Yes, No, Partially)	Comment	
Yes	<ul> <li>The Programme Validation Panel was an in-person meeting held on campus in IADT, in the Board Room, Róisín Hogan House, on Friday, 12 May 2023. The Panel met with the President, Vice President for Academic Affairs + Registrar, the Head of the Faculty of Enterprise and Humanities, the Head of the Department of Humanities and Arts Management and members of the Programme Team.</li> <li>The Panel members were issued the proposed Programme Document before the meeting.</li> </ul>	
	Following a review of the documentation provided and presentations and discussions with IADT Management and Programme Team members, the Panel were satisfied that IADT meets the prerequisites of the Qualifications and Quality Assurance (Education and Training) Act, 2012 and is eligible to apply for validation of the <b>MA New Media</b> <b>Production</b> . The Panel were satisfied to recommend this programme for validation with no conditions and some recommendations.	

## 2.2 Criterion 2

# The programme objectives and outcomes are clear and consistent with the QQI awards sought

Satisfactory (Yes, No, Partially)	Comment
Yes	<ul> <li>The Programme Validation Panel enjoyed a robust discussion with the Programme Team and noted the very clear objectives of this programme.</li> <li>Having considered and discussed the objectives and outcomes of the programme with the Programme Team, the Programme Validation Panel were satisfied that the aims and objectives are clearly defined in the programme proposal document, and this programme is consistent with the Master of Arts award sought.</li> <li>Commendation #1</li> </ul>
	The rigorous academic nature of this programme was commended by the Programme Validation Panel. Commendation #2 The Programme Validation Panel commended the programme document for being very well-written.

#### 2.3 Criterion 3

The programme concept, implementation strategy, and its interpretation of QQI awards standards are well-informed and soundly based (considering social, cultural, educational, professional and employment objectives)

Comment
The Programme Validation Panel learned how the programme team used feedback and industry input from Creative Futures Academy programmes. The Panel agreed that there is a demand for this programme content to address a skills deficit in applicable industry sectors.
The Programme Validation Panel were satisfied that the programmes met the criteria around the concept, implementation and interpretation of QQI standards.
<b>Commendation #3</b> The Programme Validation Panel considers this programme a unique offering, and the Programme Team were commended for good industry and market research, which they regarded as evidently factoring into the programme's design and content.
<b>Recommendation 1:</b> The programme Validation Panel outlined some risks to be cognisant of and monitor, such as; student numbers, fees implications for an 18-month course, and implications on other MA Programmes - avoid cannibalisation of other programmes.

#### 2.4 Criterion 4

## The programme's access, transfer and progression arrangements are satisfactory

Satisfactory (Yes, No, Partially)	Comment
Yes	<ul> <li>The Programme Validation Panel discussed access routes and progression opportunities with the programme team, including international students and RPL admissions options.</li> <li>They noted that the emphasis on developing practical skills was positive from an employability point of view while retaining focus on theoretical concepts. Concerning the student profile, the programme team believed entrants wouldn't necessarily be from BA New Media Studies and similar degree offerings but more likely to be those returning to education or industry employees who are upskilling, practitioners, etc.</li> </ul>

The Programme Validation Panel were assured the programme's access, transfer and progression arrangements are satisfactory.
Commendation #4
The Panel also commended the programme's promising progression routes in industry
and other disciplines.

### 2.5 Criterion 5

## The programme's written curriculum is well structured and fit-forpurpose

Satisfactory (Yes, No, Partially)	Comment
Yes	The Programme Validation Panel thoroughly reviewed the programme's written curriculum and enjoyed discussing the proposed programme curriculum and modules with the Programme Team. Feedback from the Panel included noting the use of the word "Narrative" across multiple modules and a discussion about the proposed title of the programme. Overall, the Panel members were satisfied that the written curriculum is well structured and fit for purpose. <b>Commendation #5</b> The programme team were commended for the good blend of theory and practice the programme offered <b>Commendation #6</b> The Panel praised the team for the re-/cross-skilling nature of the programme, which will ensure its resilience into the future. <b>Recommendation #2:</b> The Programme Validation Panel recommended exploring a new title for the programme to ensure it is reflective of the programme's content and more marketable to prospective students <b>Recommendation #3:</b> The Programme Validation Panel remarked that the reading lists are rich and diverse; however, they noted that some references were outdated and recommended that the reading lists be updated to reflect contemporary concerns and issues.

### 2.6 Criterion 6

## There are sufficient qualified and capable programme staff available to implement the programme as planned

Satisfactory	Comment
Satisfactory (Yes, No, Partially)	Comment
Yes	<ul> <li>The Programme Validation Panel considered the information provided in the Programme Document and the CVs of the staff. They met with programme team members and were impressed with their engagement and responses to questions.</li> <li>The Panel inquired about staffing, and the programme team confirmed that existing staff from both faculties will be used, most of whom who teach on the current BA New Media Studies.</li> <li>The Programme Validation Panel was satisfied that sufficient qualified and capable programme staff are available to implement this programme as planned.</li> <li>Commendation #7</li> <li>The Panel commended the team on the cross-faculty opportunities available in the programme.</li> </ul>

#### 2.7 Criterion 7

## There are sufficient physical resources to implement the programme as planned

Satisfactory (Yes, No, Partially)	Comment
Yes	Most of the Programme Validation Panel were familiar with the campus, but a tour was provided, and they were advised of the developments of the new Digital Media building, currently under construction. They were impressed and satisfied that there are currently sufficient physical resources for the programme.
	The Programme Validation Panel was satisfied that sufficient physical resources are available to implement this programme as planned.

## 2.8 Criterion 8

# The learning environment is consistent with the needs of the programme's learners

Satisfactory (Yes, No, Partially)	Comment
Yes	The Programme Validation Panel discussed the teaching model for the programme, which is planned to be a blended approach. This was informed by Creative Futures Academy-trialled models and surveys with students who completed those programmes. Based on this data, a blended model was considered the best option. The Panel noted that much planning and preparation is required for online delivery compared to solely classroom-based learning.
	The Programme Validation Panel were satisfied the learning environment is consistent with the needs of the programme's learners.
	<b>Recommendation 4:</b> The Panel recommended that the Programme Team ensure the method of programme delivery is clearly defined in the programme document and ensure that any hybrid model is sustainable and supports students who may be facing challenges with participating in blended classes.

### 2.9 Criterion 9

There are sound teaching and learning strategies	
Satisfactory (Yes, No,	Comment
Partially)	
Yes	The Programme Validation Panel was satisfied that the Institute and Faculty Teaching and Learning strategies were of the required calibre to deliver the programme content. They welcomed the Programme Teams' commitment to continue doing more research to clarify, explore proof of concept and help define student requirements, to support and enhance the programme's development.

## 2.10 Criterion 10

There are sound assessment strategies	
Satisfactory (Yes, No, Partially)	Comment
Yes	The Programme Validation Panel considered the assessment strategies outlined in the programme documentation and discussed them with the programme team, and they were satisfied overall.
	<b>Commendation #8</b> The Programme Validation Panel commended the unique final project assessment methods devised for the programme.

#### 2.11 Criterion 11

# Learners enrolled on the programme are well-informed, guided and cared for

Satisfactory (Yes, No, Partially)	Comment
Yes	The Programme Validation Panel discussed the student experience regarding programme provision and delivery, specifically over an 18-month timeframe and within the hybrid model. They noted the importance of students being aware of the planned schedule in advance. The Programme Team advised of the very good teaching and learning supports available to students.
	The Panel concurred that there are suitable arrangements to ensure learners are well- informed, guided and cared for. They were satisfied with the mechanisms in place.
	<b>Recommendation #5</b> The Programme Validation Panel suggested the programme information includes a definitive calendar of teaching activities for students.

## 2.12 Criterion 12

The programme is well managed	
Satisfactory (Yes, No, Partially)	Comment
Yes	Following the review of the programme documentation and discussions with the Management and Programme Team, the Programme Validation Panel were satisfied that the programme will be professionally managed.

## Part 3 Overall Recommendation

#### 3.1 Principal Programme

Select One		
	Satisfactory (meaning that it recommends that IADT can be satisfied in the context	
Х	of Unit 2.3 of Policies and Criteria for the Validation of Programmes of Education and	
	Training)	
	Satisfactory, subject to proposed special conditions (specified with timescale for	
	compliance for each condition); these may include proposed pre-validation	
	conditions, i.e. proposed (minor) things to be done to a programme that almost	
	fully meets the validation criteria.	
	Not satisfactory	

#### 3.1.1 Reasons for the Overall Recommendation

The Programme Validation Panel were satisfied that all the criteria were met, as demonstrated in the programme document and information provided by management and the programme team. The Panel recommended the **MA New Media Production** for validation with no conditions and some recommendations.

3.2 Embedded Programme

N/A

**3.3 Summary of Recommended Special Conditions of Validation** There were no conditions

#### 3.4 Summary of Recommendations to the Provider

**3.4.1 Recommendation 1:** The programme Validation Panel outlined some risks to be cognisant of and monitor, such as; student numbers, fees implications for an 18-month course, and implications on other MA Programmes - avoid cannibalisation of other programmes.

**3.4.2 Recommendation 1:** The Programme Validation Panel recommended exploring a new title for the programme to ensure it is reflective of the programme's content and more marketable to prospective students

**3.4.3 Recommendation #3:** The Programme Validation Panel remarked that the reading lists are rich and diverse; however, they noted that some references were outdated and recommended that the reading lists be updated to reflect contemporary concerns and issues.

**3.4.4 Recommendation #4:** The Panel recommended that the Programme Team ensure the programme delivery method is clearly defined in the programme document and that any hybrid model is sustainable and supports students who may be facing challenges with participating in blended classes.

#### 3.4.5 Recommendation #5

The Programme Validation Panel suggested the programme information includes a definitive calendar of teaching activities for students.

#### 3.5 Summary of Commendations to the Provider

#### 3.5.1 Commendation #1

The rigorous academic nature of this programme was commended by the Programme Validation Panel.

#### 3.5.2 Commendation #2

The Programme Validation Panel commended the programme document for being very well-written.

#### 3.5.3 Commendation #3

The Programme Validation Panel considers this programme a unique offering, and the Programme Team were commended for good industry and market research, which they regarded as evidently factoring into the programme's design and content.

#### 3.5.4 Commendation #4

The Panel also commended the programme's promising progression routes in industry and other disciplines.

#### 3.5.5 Commendation #5

The programme team were commended for the good blend of theory and practice the programme offered

#### 3.5.6 Commendation #6

The Panel praised the team for the re- and cross-skilling nature of the programme, which will ensure its resilience into the future

#### 3.5.7 Commendation #7

The Panel commended the team on the cross-faculty opportunities available in the programme.

#### 3.5.8 Commendation #8

The Programme Validation Panel commended the unique final project assessment methods that have been devised for the programme.

#### 4 Signature of Chairperson

This report has been agreed upon by the evaluation panel and is signed on their behalf by the Chairperson.

Panel Chairperson Name	Sheila Flanagan
Panel Chairperson Signature	<u>Sheila Flanagan</u> Shella Flanagan (Jun 22, 2023 15:11 GMT+1)
Date	Jun 22, 2023

#### 5 Disclaimer

The Report of the External Review Panel contains no assurances, warranties or representations express or implied, regarding any aforesaid issues, or any other issues outside the Terms of Reference.

While IADT has endeavoured to ensure that the information contained in the report is correct, complete and up-to-date, any reliance placed on such information is strictly at the reader's own risk, and in no event will IADT be liable for any loss or damage (including without limitation, indirect or consequential loss or damage) arising from, or in connection with, the use of the information contained in the Report of the External Evaluation Panel.



## Programme Validation Report – Faculty Response

## FACULTY OF ENTERPRISE AND HUMANITIES

## RESPONSE TO PROGRAMME VALIDATION REPORT

## DL9XX MA in MA NEW MEDIA PRODUCTION Level 9 Masters Degree

To:Dr Andrew Power, RegistrarFrom:Dr Josephine Browne, Head of FacultyDate:September 22, 2023

## CONDITIONS

There were no conditions.

### RECOMMENDATIONS

There were 5 recommendations. The response of the Faculty of Enterprise and Humanities to each recommendation is set out below.

#### **Recommendation No.1**

The programme Validation Panel outlined some risks to be cognisant of and monitor, such as; student numbers, fees implications for an 18-month course, and implications on other MA Programmes - avoid cannibalisation of other programmes.

#### Faculty response

The Faculty welcomes this recommendation. All of these points are core to the planning and delivery of level 9 programmes at IADT and will be keep under continual scrutiny. Particualr cognizance will be given to the points re: cannibalisation and demand generation (student numbers).

#### **Recommendation No.2**

The Programme Validation Panel recommended exploring a new title for the programme to ensure it is reflective of the programme's content and more marketable to prospective students

#### **Faculty Response**

The Faculty welcomes this recommendation. It is currently undertaking market research in conjunction with the marketing department to establish the most appropriate and market-friendly name.

#### **Recommendation No.3**

The Programme Validation Panel remarked that the reading lists are rich and diverse; however, they noted that some references were outdated and recommended that the reading lists be updated to reflect contemporary concerns and issues.

#### **Faculty Response**

The Faculty welcomes this recommendation. A review of reading lists is being undertaken and will be added to the document and noted at PVC and academic council.

#### **Recommendation No. 4**

The Panel recommended that the Programme Team ensure the programme delivery method is clearly defined in the programme document and that any hybrid model is sustainable and supports students who may be facing challenges with participating in blended classes.

#### **Faculty Response**

The Faculty welcomes this recommendation and commits to a plain English and clear description of the above in all programme documentation given to students. Also, as above, questions of sustainable models are kept under continual scrutiny and the issues of student support are also kept at the forefront of planning. The programme team will work with the IADT Student Experience Team to ensure that any student in difficulty is accommodated reasonably and practicably.

#### **Recommendation No. 5**

The Programme Validation Panel suggested the programme information includes a definitive calendar of teaching activities for students.

#### **Faculty Response**

The Faculty welcomes this recommendation. A schedule of assignments and an expanded module briefing document, that details the individual modules and their assessments, has been developed to address this and will be supplied to students at induction.