

### **Programme Validation Report**

# DL852 Higher Diploma in Digital Business + Data Analytics

**Programme Validation Report for:** 

#### **Principal Programme**

**Higher Diploma in Digital Business + Data Analytics** [Level 8, 60 ECTS]

Date of Panel: 14 September 2023

Date of Report: 21 September 2023

#### **Table of Contents**

Part 1	ntroduct	ion2	
1.1	Evaluato	ors2	<u>'</u>
1.2	Overall	Recommendations3	}
1.3	Principa	l Programme:4	ŀ
1.4	Embedd	ed Programme5	;
1.5	Standalo	one Module Leading to a Minor Award5	;
1.6	Program	nmes being replaced5	;
Part 2 I	Evaluatio	n against the Validation Criteria6	,
2.1	Criterio	17	,
2.2	Criterio	າ 27	,
2.3	Criterio	1 3	;
2.4	Criterio	n 49	)
2.5	Criterio	151	.0
2.6	Criterio	ı 61	.0
2.7	Criterio	171	.1
2.8	Criterio	181	.1
2.9	Criterio	ı 91	.2
2.10	Criterio	າ 101	.2
2.11	Criterio	n 111	.3
2.12	Criterio	n 121	.3
Part 3	Overall R	ecommendation1	4
3.1	Principa	Programme(s):1	.4
3.3	Reasons	for the Overall Recommendation	.4
	3.3.1	Summary of Recommended Special Condition(s) of Validation	4
	3.3.2	Summary of Recommendation(s) to the Provider	4
	3.3.3	Summary of Commendation(s) to the Provider	5
4	•	re of Chairperson pokmark not defined.	
5	Disclaim Error! Bo	per pokmark not defined.	

#### **Part 1 Introduction**

#### 1.1 Evaluators

Name	Role	Affiliation
Dr Dominic Dillane	Chair	Head of School of Tourism and Hospitality
		Management at TU Dublin
Dr Jamie Rohu	Academic Expert	Postdoctoral, TCD
Dr Tom Egan	Academic Expert	Executive MBA Course Director and Lecturer in
		Business Studies
Mr John O'Toole	Industry Expert	Director of Finance, Operations and Sustainability,
		Airfield Estate
Ms Aileen Eglington	Industry Expert	Owner/MD at AE Consulting

#### 1.2 Overall Recommendations

Provider Name	Dun Laoghaire Institute of Art, Design + Technology (IADT)
Date of Panel Meeting	14 September 2023
Date of Report	20 September 2023

Principal	Title(s)	Higher Diploma in Digital Business + Data Analytics
Programme(s)	Award	Master of Business
	Credit	60 ECTS
	ISCED Code	0410
	Recommendation	Satisfactory
	Satisfactory OR	
	Satisfactory subject to	
	proposed conditions OR	
	Not Satisfactory	

#### 1.3 Principal Programme:

**Higher Diploma in Digital Business + Data Analytics** 

Names of Centres where the programmes are to	Maximum Number of	Minimum Number of
be provided	Learners (per centre)	Learners
Dún Laoghaire Institute of Art, Design and	20	10
Technology (IADT), Kill Avenue, Dún		
Laoghaire, Co. Dublin		

Enrolment Interval	Date of First Intake	October 2023	
(normally 5 years)	Date of Last Intake	September 2027	
Maximum number of	1		
annual intakes			
Maximum total	30		
number of learners per			
intake			
Programme duration	1 Year		
(months from start to			
completion)			
Target learner groups	This programme was developed in response to t		
	the Human Capital Initiative (HCI) Pillar 1 Initiati	ve	
	The target learner groups are:		
	Unemployed and in-receipt of a qualifying the second	ng payment from the	
	Department of Social Protection (DSP).		
	Self-employed		
	Returners,		
	Employed wishing to upskill		
	Recent Graduates from a full-time NFQ Level 8 degree within the 12		
	months prior to the course start date and who does not fit into one of		
A	the above categories.		
Approved countries of	Ireland		
provision	E II di con con di contributo Electronico de la della	and a C. H. Para Barta	
Delivery mode – Full-	Full—time and part-time. The programme is delivered on a full-time basis, however the Institute may decide to offer this programme on a part time basis in		
time/Part-time	the future.	rogramme on a part time basis in	
The teaching and	Lecturers with Industry experience		
learning modalities	Guest lecturers from industry		
	Lab based tutorials		
	Case based learning		
	Webinars		
	Self-directed study		
Brief synopsis of the	This is a level 8 Higher Diploma Programme with a focus on Digital Business and		
programme (e.g. who	Data Analytics. The target learners are applicants who have an interest in		
is it for, what is it for,	learning about business management and the impact and application of digital		
what is involved for	technologies to enable business strategy and gro	owth.	
learners, what it leads			
to)			

Summary of	WTE <sub>1</sub>	Qualifications and Experience
specifications for	570-670 hours	Level 8 or above in the following disciplines:
teaching staff	per annum	Business management
	(number	Data and cyber law
	dependent	Data analytics
	·	Cloud computing
		Business digital transformation
Summary of	Staff to Learner	Learning Activity Type
specifications for the	Ratio	
ratio of learners to	1:10	Standard lectures
teaching staff		Practical Computer Labs
Outline the physical	Classrooms and br	eakout seminar rooms
resource requirements	Computer Laboratories	
	Library Collaborati	ve Study Spaces
	Printers and scann	ers

Work placements for which credit is allocated			
Title of the placement	Stage number	Credit (specify units)	Total hours in the workplace
Work Placement/Industry Project	1	10	510

#### 1.4 Embedded Programme

N/A

#### 1.5 Standalone Module Leading to a Minor Award

N/A

#### 1.6 Programmes being replaced

N/A

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> WTE is the whole-time equivalent number. The number 1 indicates a full-time person fully dedicated to the programme or a number of people whose time combined equals a full time person.

#### Part 2 Evaluation against the Validation Criteria

The programme presented for validation falls under the designated awarding body status and related quality assurances processes of IADT.

The Programme Validation meeting was conducted online on Thursday 14 September 2023 using Microsoft TEAMs. The Programme Validation Panel met with the Assistant Registrar, Head of Department of Entrepreneurship, and members of the Programme Teams.

The Programme Validation Panel members were issued with the proposed Programme Documents before the meeting.

#### 2.1 Criterion 1

The provi	der is eligible to apply for validation of the programme
Satisfactory (Yes, No, Partially)	Comment
YES	Under the Qualifications and Quality Assurance (Education and Training) (Amendment) Act 2019 (Commencement) (No. 2) Order 2019 (SI No. 540 of 2019), made 5 November 2019, IADT may make awards up to Level 9 of the National Framework of Qualifications, and became a designated awarding body, on 1 January 2020.
	Following a review of the documentation provided and presentations and discussions with IADT Management and representatives from the Programme Team, the Programme Validation Panel were satisfied that IADT meets the prerequisites of the Qualifications and Quality Assurance (Education and Training) Act, 2012 and is eligible to apply for validation of the Higher Diploma in Digital Business + Data Analytics. The Panel were satisfied to recommend this programme for validation with 3 Commendations and 8 Recommendations.

#### 2.2 Criterion 2

The programme objectives and outcomes are clear and consistent with the awards sought		
Satisfactory (Yes, No, Partially)	Comment	
YES	The programme document sets out the rationale for this programme being a Level 8 Higher Diploma in Digital Business + Data Analytics. The core knowledge skills and competencies for Level 8 of the NFQ and are aligned with the appropriate discipline Award Standards.  Having considered and discussed the objectives and outcomes of the programme with the programme team, the Programme Validation Panel were satisfied that the aims and objectives are clearly defined in the programme proposal document, and this programme is consistent with the awards sought.  Commendation#1  The Programme Team were commended on how they articulated the rationale for the programme.	

#### 2.3 Criterion 3

The programme concept, implementation strategy, and its interpretation of QQI awards standards are well informed and soundly based (considering social, cultural, educational, professional and employment objectives)

Satisfactory (Yes, No, Partially)	Comment
YES	The Programme Document represents the views of all relevant stakeholders, and the Faculty, Department and Programme Team developed the programme and learning outcomes informed by research, surveys, benchmarking against similar programmes, nationally and internationally and experience delivering the original programme since 2017. The programme's learning outcomes and related module learning outcomes have been mapped against the QQI awards standards for Business.  The Programme Validation Panel noted the benefits of the programme and the funding options as an excellent opportunity for organisations to provide employee upskilling opportunities.
	The Programme Validation Panel were satisfied that the programmes met the criteria around the concept, implementation, and interpretation of QQI standards.  Recommendation #1  The Programme Validation Panel suggested the marketing of the programme includes promoting this course with local businesses and industry member organisations.

#### 2.4 Criterion 4

## The programme's access, transfer and progression arrangements are satisfactory

satisfactory	
Satisfactory (Yes, No, Partially)	Comment
YES	The Programme Validation Panel were satisfied overall that the programme's access, transfer and progression arrangements are satisfactory. They welcomed the consideration of interviewing incoming students which they believe will enhance integration and ensure appropriate expectations are set. The Programme Validation Panel considered access routes, including Recognition of Prior Learning (RPL). They encouraged the programme team to explore offering micro-credential options and to consider progression pathways for graduates of this programme.  Recommendation #2 The Programme Validation Panel recommended that incoming students are interviewed as part of the induction process.
	Recommendation #3 The programme team should consider pathways for graduates of this programme into Masters level.  Recommendation #4 The Programme Validation Panel recommended the Programme Team consider offering individual modules of this programme as micro-credential awards.

#### 2.5 Criterion 5

Satisfactory (Yes, No, Partially)	Comment
YES	The Programme Validation Panel reviewed the programme's written curriculum and discussed different aspects of the modules with the Programme Team. They commended the programme document's quality. The Panel discussed and explored further with the Programme Team, the content and structure of the curriculum.  Overall, the members of the Panel were satisfied that the written curriculum is well structured and fit for purpose.  Commendation#2  The Programme Validation Panel commended the Team on the quality of the Programme Document.  Recommendation #5  The Programme Validation Panel suggested the Programme Team compare the structure of 10 ECT and 20 ECT modules, and ensure the difference is clearly articulated in the programme document.

#### 2.6 Criterion 6

There are sufficient qualified and capable programme staff available to			
implement the programme as planned			
Satisfactory (Yes, No, Partially)			
YES	The Programme Validation Panel considered the information provided in the Programme Document and the CVs of the staff, and met with a number of the faculty on the Programme Team.  The Programme Validation Panel was satisfied that sufficient qualified and capable programme staff are available to implement this programme as planned.		

#### 2.7 Criterion 7

# There are sufficient physical resources to implement the programme as planned Satisfactory (Yes, No, Partially) The Programme document outlines the facilities and physical resources available on the IADT campus, including the new Digital Media building on the IADT Campus, currently under construction. The Programme Validation Panel were satisfied that sufficient physical resources will be available to implement this programme as planned.

#### 2.8 Criterion 8

The learning environment is consistent with the needs of the programme's learners		
Comment		
The learning environments support social, cultural, and intellectual development chrough engagement with their peers, lecturers and the wider community. The Programme Validation Team highlighted the value of students becoming critical chinkers.  The Programme Validation Panel were satisfied the learning environment is consistent with the needs of the programme's learners.  Recommendation #7  The Programme Validation Panel suggested Lecturers are cognisant of supporting students develop critical thinking skills, across all subject areas.		
The hour of the ho		

#### 2.9 Criterion 9

There are sound teaching and learning strategies		
Satisfactory (Yes, No, Partially)	Comment	
YES	Learners are enabled to meet the Learning Outcomes using a diverse and blended set of Teaching Strategies. The Teaching strategies for this programme have been developed giving consideration to the Signature Pedagogies of the Discipline; National Quality Framework; Learner needs; Academic standards; Industry / Professional requirements, and IADT's Teaching and Learning policy and guidelines.  The Programme Validation Panel agreed the teaching and learning strategies were sound and fit for purpose.	

#### **2.10** Criterion **10**

There are sound assessment strategies	
Satisfactory (Yes, No, Partially)	Comment
YES	The programme document outlines the programme assessment strategy and module assessment strategies. Programme assessment procedures are consistent with IADT's Quality Framework and Learning and Teaching Assessment Strategy, and related policies and procedures.
	The Programme Validation panel discussed the assessments and submission deadlines in relation to student's workload. They also discussed the various options available to students for the Industry project, and believed this should be made clearer in documentation. The Programme Validation Panel considered the assessment strategies outlined in the programme documentation and, as discussed with the Programme Team, and were satisfied overall.
	Recommendation #6  The Programme Validation Panel recommended that the schedule of assessments is balanced and circulated to the students early in the programme.
	Recommendations #8 In relation to the Industry Project, the Programme Validation Panel recommended the programme document clearly articulate all the different options available, and how assessment will operate for each option.

#### **2.11** Criterion **11**

Learners enrolled on the programme are well informed, guided and cared for		
Satisfactory (Yes, No, Partially)	Comment	
YES	The Programme Validation Panel discussed induction, supports and services available to all students. The Panel concurred that there are suitable arrangements in place to ensure learners are well informed, guided and cared for, and as discussed, they were satisfied with the mechanisms in place.	
	Commendation #3  The Programme Validation Panel welcomed the 80/20 hybrid mode of the programme, the focus on in-person delivery. This will benefit and enhance the student experience.	

#### 2.12 Criterion 12

The programme is well managed		
Satisfactory (Yes, No, Partially)		
YES	Following the review of the programme documentation and discussions with the Management and Programme Team members, the Programme Validation Panel were satisfied that the programme will be professionally managed.	

#### **Part 3 Overall Recommendation**

#### 3.1 Principal Programme(s):

#### **Higher Diploma in Digital Business + Data Analytics**

Select One		
Х	Satisfactory (meaning that it recommends that IADT can be satisfied in the context of Unit	
	2.3 of Core Policies and Criteria for the Validation of Programmes of Education and	
	Training)	
	Satisfactory, subject to proposed special conditions (specified with timescale for	
	compliance for each condition); these may include proposed pre-validation conditions, ie proposed (minor) things to be done to a programme that almost fully meets the validation criteria	
	Not satisfactory	

#### 3.3 Reasons for the Overall Recommendation

The Programme Validation Panel were satisfied that all the criteria were met, as demonstrated in the programme document and information provided by management and the Programme Team. The Panel recommended the **Higher Diploma in Digital Business + Data Analytics** for validation with no conditions and some recommendations, as outlined below.

#### 3.3.1 Summary of Recommended Special Condition(s) of Validation

N/A There were no conditions

#### 3.3.2 Summary of Recommendation(s) to the Provider

#### **Recommendation #1**

The Programme Validation Panel suggested the marketing of the programme includes promoting this course with local businesses and industry member organisations.

#### **Recommendation #2**

The Programme Validation Panel recommended that incoming students are interviewed as part of the induction process.

#### **Recommendation #3**

The programme team should consider pathways for graduates of this programme into Masters level.

#### **Recommendation #4**

The Programme Validation Panel recommended the Programme Team consider offering individual modules of this programme as micro-credential awards.

#### **Recommendation #5**

The Programme Validation Panel suggested the Programme Team compare the structure of 10 ECT and 20 ECT modules, and ensure the difference is clearly articulated in the programme document.

#### **Recommendation #6**

The Programme Validation Panel recommended that the schedule of assessments is balanced and circulated to the students early in the programme.

#### **Recommendation #7**

The Programme Validation Panel suggested Lecturers are cognisant of supporting students develop critical thinking skills, across all subject areas.

#### **Recommendations #8**

In relation to the Industry Project, the Programme Validation Panel recommended the programme document clearly articulate all the different options available, and how assessment will operate for each option.

#### 3.3.3 Summary of Commendation(s) to the Provider

#### Commendation#1

The Programme Team were commended on how they articulated the rationale for the programme.

#### Commendation#2

The Programme Validation Panel commended the Team on the quality of the Programme Document.

#### **Commendation #3**

The Programme Validation Panel welcomed the 80/20 hybrid mode of the programme, the focus on in-person delivery. This will benefit and enhance the student experience.

#### 4 Signature of Chairperson

This report has been agreed by the evaluation panel and is signed on their behalf by the Chairperson.

Panel Chairperson Name	Dominic Dillane
Panel Chairperson Signature	Dominic Dillane Dominic Dillane (Nov 16, 2023 16:50 GMT)
Date	Nov 16, 2023

#### 5 Disclaimer

The Report of the External Review Panel contains no assurances, warranties or representations express or implied, regarding the aforesaid issues, or any other issues outside the Terms of Reference.

While IADT has endeavoured to ensure that the information contained in the report is correct, complete and up-to-date, any reliance placed on such information is strictly at the reader's own risk, and in no event will IADT be liable for any loss or damage (including without limitation, indirect or consequential loss or damage) arising from, or in connection with, the use of the information contained in the Report of the External Evaluation Panel.

Response to Programme Validation Panel Report

# DL852 Higher Diploma in Digital Business and Data Analytics

#### To the programme Validation Panel

The programme team appreciate the hard work and rigour that went into the validation of the proposed Higher Diploma in Digital Business and Data Analytics. We welcome the commendations as stated by the evaluation panel.

#### Commendation#1

The Programme Team were commended on how they articulated the rationale for the programme.

#### Commendation#2

The Programme Validation Panel commended the Team on the quality of the Programme Document.

#### Commendation #3

The Programme Validation Panel welcomed the 80/20 hybrid mode of the programme, the focus on in-person delivery. This will benefit and enhance the student experience.

We acknowledge the recommendations set out in the report and below have attached our responses and proposed related actions that will address these recommendations

#### **Recommendation #1**

The Programme Validation Panel suggested the marketing of the programme includes promoting this course with local businesses and industry member organisations.

• The programme team are working with the Marketing team to identify appropriate organisations locally. We are in contact with DLR Chamber, DLRcoco and Sandyford Business District in relation to this and we acknowledge that the link with industry and social enterprises is important.

#### Recommendation #2

The Programme Validation Panel recommended that incoming students are interviewed as part of the induction process.

• The programme team will endeavor to put a fair and transparent process in place around admissions. The issue of interview may be impacted by the timelines available to recruit but time permitting, this action will be undertaken.

#### Recommendation #3

The programme team should consider pathways for graduates of this programme into Masters level.

• This option will be explored in the near future as part of the ongoing programme development process within the Department.

#### Recommendation #4

The Programme Validation Panel recommended the Programme Team consider offering individual modules of this programme as micro-credential awards.

• The programme team is open to exploring this option and believes that there is a market need for such a qualification. This option will be pursued once the first iteration of the programme has been successfully run.

#### **Recommendation #5**

The Programme Validation Panel suggested the Programme Team compare the structure of 10 ECT and 20 ECT modules, and ensure the difference is clearly articulated in the programme document.

• The programme team will review all modules and ensure that this is articulated in the document.

#### **Recommendation #6**

The Programme Validation Panel recommended that the schedule of assessments is balanced and circulated to the students early in the programme.

• The programme team will develop a full schedule of assessment in advance of running the programme and will strive to ensure that there is a balanced, and where possible an integrated approach to assessment.

#### Recommendation #7

The Programme Validation Panel suggested Lecturers are cognisant of supporting students develop critical thinking skills, across all subject areas.

• The programme team welcome this recommendation and will incorporate this into the planning for modules.

#### **Recommendations #8**

In relation to the Industry Project, the Programme Validation Panel recommended the programme document clearly articulate all the different options available, and how assessment will operate for each option.

• The programme team will incorporate this suggestion in the module descriptor.